



Enhancing Scientific Document Simplification through Adaptive Retrieval and Generative Models

Artemis Capari, Hosein Azarbonyad, Zubair Afzal, Georgios Tsatsaronis











### Task 1: What's in or out?

→ Retrieve all passages pertinent to a given query or topic

- 2023: Improve ranking model for scientific passage retrieval task
- 2024: Improve input to ranking models by generating new search queries

#### Task 3: Rewrite this!

 $\rightarrow$  Simplify passages from scientific abstracts given a query

Test power of simple prompt engineering

### Introduction

### TASK 1: What's in or out?

Retrieve all passages pertinent to a given query or topic



### Fine-tuning dense-retrieval models

- Validation:
  - 100 queries across 20 disciplines.
  - Pooling technique for document retrieval
  - 50 manually evaluated snippets per query
- Training:
  - Large set of unlabeled documents
  - Generative Pseudo Labeling (GPL)
    - Unsupervised domain adaptation
    - Generates pseudo labels for unlabeled data
    - Pseudo labels with ms-marco-MiniLM-L-6-v2



#### Table: Details on fine-tuning of various models

Model Name	Bi-Encoder	Queries	Documents	Batch Size	Training Steps	Epochs
MS-DB-v4-GPL-CS	msmarco-distilbert-base-v4	218 (10 golden)	23670	16	15000	1
MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-CS	msmarco-distilbert-base-tas-b	218 (10 golden)	23670	16	15000	1
MS-DB-v4-GPL-all	msmarco-distilbert-base-v4	4637 (80 golden)	893110	32	280000	1
MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all	msmarco-distilbert-base-tas-b	4637 (80 golden)	893110	32	280000	1

02

TASK 1 2023

#### Table: Official Results of Simple Text Task 1 - CLEF 2023

Run	MRR	P@10	P@20	P@30	nDCG@10	nDCG@20	nDCG@30	BPREF	МАР
ElsevierSimpleText_run8	0.8082	0.5618	0.3515	0.2696	0.5881	0.4422	0.3803	0.2371	0.1633
ElsevierSimpleText_run7	0.7136	0.5618	0.4103	0.3441	0.5704	0.4627	0.4158	0.2626	0.1915
maine_CrossEncoder1	0.7309	0.5265	0.4500	0.4216	0.5455	0.4841	0.4687	0.3337	0.2754
maine_CrossEncoderFinetuned1	0.7338	0.4971	0.4000	0.3529	0.4859	0.4295	0.4062	0.3443	0.2385
ElsevierSimpleText_run5	0.6600	0.4765	0.3838	0.3314	0.4826	0.4186	0.3834	0.2542	0.1828
ElsevierSimpleText_run2	0.7010	0.4676	0.4059	0.3480	0.4791	0.4282	0.3912	0.2528	0.1942
ElsevierSimpleText_run6	0.6402	0.4676	0.3853	0.3284	0.4723	0.4185	0.3828	0.2557	0.1809
ElsevierSimpleText_run4	0.6774	0.4529	0.3794	0.3422	0.4721	0.4116	0.3876	0.2485	0.1898
ElsevierSimpleText_run9	0.5933	0.4735	0.3176	0.2500	0.4655	0.3595	0.3102	0.1758	0.1238
ElsevierSimpleText_run1	0.6821	0.4588	0.3824	0.3353	0.4626	0.4071	0.3786	0.2573	0.1823
maine_CrossEncoderFinetuned2	0.7082	0.4706	0.3926	0.3637	0.4617	0.4089	0.3969	0.3259	0.2253
UAms_CE1k_Filter	0.6403	0.4765	0.3559	0.2941	0.4533	0.3743	0.3334	0.2727	0.1936
ElsevierSimpleText_run3	0.6502	0.4471	0.3779	0.3324	0.4460	0.3994	0.3709	0.2558	0.1785



#### Improving input to ranking model

- Retrieving Relevant Passages
  - Need high-performing ranking model
  - How do you ask for relevant passages?
  - --> Improve search queries
- Generating Search Queries
  - GPT-3.5-turbo-0125
  - Use generated queries to:
    - Create corpus from top-k ElasticSearch documents
    - Re-rank using fine-tuned model



#### **Generating Topics using Abstracts**

```
Goal:
I have a task to retrieve passages that help understand a given article.
Request:
Your task is to help me write the best possible search query to retrieve
articles that would help understand the provided article.
This query should be concise and focus on the provided topic.
Only provide ONE search query.
Article:
```

"{abstract}"

Search Query:







#### Generate Queries using provided Topics and Abstracts

```
Goal:
```

I have a task to retrieve passages that help understand a given article. We dissect the content of the article into key-topics, and retrieve passages for those topics.

Request:

I need your help to create the best possible search query for a given topic in the context of the provided article. This query should be concise and focus on the provided topic. Only provide ONE search query.

```
Topic:
{query_text}
```

Article:

```
"{abstract}"
```

Search Query:

# 02 TASK 1: Experiments

Run	<b>Query Input</b>	Corpus	Model
1	query	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
2	query, topic	ES Top-500	MS-DB-v4-GPL-CS
3	query	ES Top-1000	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
4	query, topic	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
5	query, topic	ES Top-500	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
6	query, topic	ES Top-1000	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
7	query	ES Top-500	MS-DB-v4-GPL-CS
8	gen query	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
9	topic	ES Top-500	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
10	gen topic	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all

## TASK 1: Experiments

02

Run	Query Input	Corpus	Model	
1	query	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all	• Selection based on 2023 performance
2	query, topic	ES Top-500	MS-DB-v4-GPL-CS	ElasticSearch API used for
3	query	ES Top-1000	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all	creating corpus
4	query, topic	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all	Re-ranked with fine-tuned models
5	query, topic	ES Top-500	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all	models
6	query, topic	ES Top-1000	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all	
7	query	ES Top-500	MS-DB-v4-GPL-CS	
8	gen query	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all	
9	topic	ES Top-500	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all	
10	gen topic	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all	

TASK 1: Results

#### Table: Performance of Official Runs on the 2024 SimpleText Task 1 Train Qrels

Run	P@10	R@10	RR@10	nDCG@5	nDCG@10	nDCG@50	nDCG@100	
1	0.612	0.103	0.799	0.584	0.555	0.399	0.407	- Best: "Query",
2	0.584	0.088	0.727	0.566	0.550	0.401	0.364	"query, topic"
3	0.552	0.091	0.761	0.547	0.511	0.369	0.352	Worst: generated
4	0.500	0.076	0.666	0.487	0.468	0.356	0.330	and topic-level
5	0.508	0.079	0.657	0.500	0.461	0.353	0.335	queries
6	0.472	0.072	0.697	0.471	0.439	0.337	0.327	<ul> <li>↑ corpus size</li> </ul>
7	0.344	0.044	0.470	0.373	0.340	0.227	0.210	j performance
8	0.340	0.042	0.502	0.328	0.321	0.236	0.227	
9	0.312	0.040	0.451	0.324	0.298	0.205	0.191	
10	0.244	0.026	0.309	0.253	0.234	0.160	0.138	

### — TASK 1: Experiments

02

Query Input	Corpus	Model
query	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
query, topic	ES Top-500	MS-DB-v4-GPL-CS
query	ES Top-1000	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
query, topic	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
query, topic	ES Top-500	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
query, topic	ES Top-1000	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
query	ES Top-500	MS-DB-v4-GPL-CS
gen query	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
topic	ES Top-500	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
gen topic	ES Top-100	MS-DB-tas-b-GPL-all
	Query Input query query, topic query, topic query, topic query, topic query, topic query gen query topic gen topic	Query InputCorpusqueryES Top-100query, topicES Top-500queryES Top-1000query, topicES Top-100query, topicES Top-500query, topicES Top-1000queryES Top-1000gen queryES Top-500gen queryES Top-500gen queryES Top-500gen queryES Top-100topicES Top-500gen topicES Top-500

### TASK 1: Results

runid	MRR	P@10	P@20	NDCG@10	NDCG@20	Bpref	МАР
AIIRLab_Task1_LLaMABiEncoder	0.9444	0.8167	0.5517	0.6170	0.5166	0.3559	0.2304
AIIRLab_Task1_LLaMAReranker2	0.9300	0.7933	0.5417	0.5943	0.5004	0.3495	0.2177
AIIRLab_Task1_LLaMAReranker	0.8944	0.7967	0.5583	0.5889	0.5011	0.3541	0.2200
UBO_Task1_TFIDFT5	0.7132	0.4833	0.3817	0.3474	0.3197	0.2354	0.1274
LIA_bool	0.7242	0.5233	0.3633	0.3381	0.2891	0.2661	0.1199
Elsevier@SimpleText_task_1_run8	0.7123	0.4533	0.3367	0.3146	0.2752	0.1582	0.0906
Elsevier@SimpleText_task_1_run4	0.6162	0.4300	0.3217	0.3063	0.2681	0.1642	0.1005
Elsevier@SimpleText_task_1_run10	0.5117	0.4067	0.2767	0.2885	0.2365	0.1236	0.0729
AB_DPV_SimpleText_task1_results_FKGL	0.6173	0.3733	0.2900	0.2818	0.2442	0.1966	0.1078
LIA_elastic	0.6173	0.3733	0.2900	0.2818	0.2442	0.3016	0.1325
Ruby_Task_1	0.5470	0.4233	0.3533	0.2756	0.2671	0.1980	0.1110
LIA_meili	0.6386	0.4700	0.2867	0.2736	0.2242	0.2377	0.0833
Elsevier@SimpleText_task_1_run6	0.5333	0.3833	0.3117	0.2633	0.2430	0.1841	0.0973
Tomislav Rowan SimpleText T1 2	0.5444	0.3733	0.2750	0.2443	0.2183	0.0963	0.0601
Elsevier@SimpleText task 1 run5	0.4867	0.3533	0.2883	0.2408	0.2232	0.1834	0.0943
Elsevier@SimpleText_task_1_run1	0.5589	0.3000	0.3300	0.2247	0.2399	0.1978	0.1018
Elsevier@SimpleText_task_1_run7	0.4026	0.3200	0.2250	0.2168	0.1850	0.1085	0.0565
Elsevier@SimpleText_task_1_run9	0.3868	0.3300	0.2283	0.2105	0.1829	0.1103	0.0590
Elsevier@SimpleText_task_1_run3	0.4733	0.2367	0.2033	0.1853	0.1703	0.1587	0.0714
Elsevier@SimpleText_task_1_run2	0.4193	0.2233	0.2433	0.1803	0.1865	0.1768	0.0820
Charlingons Taski marss CDT2	0 / / / 7	0.0007	0 0 2 2 2 2	0 11 40	0 0707	0.0107	0.0107

- Discrepancy between Train qrels and Test qrels rankings
- Best: Gen query, query, topic, gen topic
- Worst: Query and topic
- ↑ corpus size
   ↓ performance

### TASK 3: *Rewrite this!*

Simplify passages from scientific abstracts given a query



- Simple zero-shot prompting
- Zero-shot prompting with detailed instructions
- Few-shot prompting
  - Provided train set as input-output examples.
  - Randomly selected samples.
- Adding Background Information
  - Method 1: sentence-level simplification
     Include abstract as context to the sentence
  - Method 2: breaking down complex terms
     1. Identify key concepts in given abstract
    - 2. Provide definitions or synonyms for these concepts

 $\rightarrow$  in-context learning w.o. updating model params

- $\rightarrow$  identify essential information
- $\rightarrow$  avoid over-simplification
- $\rightarrow$  aid lexical simplification



#### Table:Configurations of official submissions for Task 3

Prompt	Few-Shot	Level	Two-Step	Uses Abstract
1	False	Sentence	False	False
2	False	Abstract	False	-
3	False	Sentence	False	False
4	False	Sentence	False	False
2	True	Abstract	False	-
5	False	Sentence	True	True
6	False	Sentence	False	True
7	True	Sentence	False	True
8	True	Sentence	False	True
6	True	Sentence	False	True
8	False	Sentence	False	True
5	True	Sentence	True	True
	Prompt  1 2 3 4 2 5 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 8 5	PromptFew-Shot1False2False3False4False2True5False6False7True8True6False8False5False6True8False5False7True8True5False5True	PromptFew-ShotLevel1FalseSentence2FalseAbstract3FalseSentence4FalseSentence2TrueAbstract5FalseSentence6FalseSentence7TrueSentence8TrueSentence6FalseSentence8FalseSentence8FalseSentence5TrueSentence5TrueSentence5TrueSentence	PromptFew-ShotLevelTwo-Step1FalseSentenceFalse2FalseAbstractFalse3FalseSentenceFalse4FalseSentenceFalse2TrueAbstractFalse5FalseSentenceTrue6FalseSentenceFalse7TrueSentenceFalse8TrueSentenceFalse6FalseSentenceFalse8FalseSentenceFalse8FalseSentenceFalse8FalseSentenceFalse5TrueSentenceFalse5TrueSentenceFalse





#### Table: Performance of Official Runs on the 2024 SimpleText Task 3 Test Set

FKGL	BLEU	SARI	Run	Prompt	Few-Shot	Level	Two-Step	Uses Abstract	
11.54	0.15	36.63	1	1	False	Sentence	False	False 🗖	higher similarity, and
12.12	0.12	34.92	2	2	False	Abstract	False	-	higher education level
13.09	0.25	42.57	3	3	False	Sentence	False	False	
12.85	0.20	39.00	4	4	False	Sentence	False	False	↓ FKGL, BLEU, SARI
13.26	0.14	36.39	5	2	True	Abstract	False	-	simpler, but less
13.70	0.21	39.95	6	5	False	Sentence	True	True	similar to reference
13.80	0.20	39.31	7	6	False	Sentence	False	True	
13.74	0.20	39.16	8	7	True	Sentence	False	True	
13.68	0.21	39.12	9	8	True	Sentence	False	True	
13.82	0.20	39.05	10	6	True	Sentence	False	True	I est Set has high FKGL
13.70	0.20	38.92	11	8	False	Sentence	False	True	Abstract-Level: 13.02
13.97	0.19	38.54	12	5	True	Sentence	True	True	

-03----

### TASK 3.1: Results

Table: Results for CLEF 2024 SimpleText Task 3.1 sentence-level text simplification (task number removed from the run\_id) on the test set

	Ĕ	GL	R		
run_id	100	FK	SAI	BL	
References	578	8.86	100	100	
Identity	578	13.65	12.02	19.76	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run1	578	10.33	43.63	10.68	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run4	577	11.73	43.14	12.08	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run8	577	12.40	42.95	12.35	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run6	577	12.65	42.88	11.76	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run7	577	12.55	42.87	12.20	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run9	577	12.53	42.61	12.15	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run3	577	11.50	42.58	15.75	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run10	577	12.57	42.49	11.91	
AIIRLab_Task3.1_llama-3-8b_run1	578	8.39	40.58	7.53	
AIIRLab_Task3.1_llama-3-8b_run3	578	9.47	40.36	6.26	
AIIRLab_Task3.1_llama-3-8b_run2	578	10.33	39.76	5.46	
UZH_Pandas_Task3.1_simple_with_cot	578	13.74	39.59	3.38	

Discrepancy between test set and official results

FKGL: 13.62 vs 8.86



### TASK 3.1: Results

Table: Results for CLEF 2024 SimpleText Task 3.1 sentence-level text simplification (task number removed from the run\_id) on the test set

run_id	count	FKGL	SARI	BLEU	Simplest prompts are best at <i>simplification</i>
References	578	8.86	100	100	
Identity	578	13.65	12.02	19.76	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run1	578	10.33	43.63	10.68	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run4	577	11.73	43.14	12.08	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run8	577	12.40	42.95	12.35	Lowest FKGL
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run6	577	12.65	42.88	11.76	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run7	577	12.55	42.87	12.20	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run9	577	12.53	42.61	12.15	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run3	577	11.50	42.58	15.75	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run10	577	12.57	42.49	11.91	
AIIRLab_Task3.1_llama-3-8b_run1	578	8.39	40.58	7.53	
AIIRLab_Task3.1_llama-3-8b_run3	578	9.47	40.36	6.26	
AIIRLab_Task3.1_llama-3-8b_run2	578	10.33	39.76	5.46	
UZH_Pandas_Task3.1_simple_with_cot	578	13.74	39.59	3.38	

03

### TASK 3.1: Results

Table: Results for CLEF 2024 SimpleText Task 3.1 sentence-level text simplification (task number removed from the run\_id) on the test set

run_id	count	FKGL	SARI	BLEU	Zero-S Examples used
References	578	8.86	100	100	
Identity	578	13.65	12.02	19.76	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run1	578	10.33	43.63	10.68	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run4	577	11.73	43.14	12.08	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run8	577	12.40	42.95	12.35	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run6	577	12.65	42.88	11.76	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run7	577	12.55	42.87	12.20	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run9	577	12.53	42.61	12.15	
Elsevier@SimpleText Task3.1 run3	577	11.50	42.58	15.75	
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.1_run10	577	12.57	42.49	11.91	
AIIRLab_Task3.1_Ilama-3-8b_run1	578	8.39	40.58	7.53	
AIIRLab_Task3.1_Ilama-3-8b_run3	578	9.47	40.36	6.26	
AIIRLab_Task3.1_Ilama-3-8b_run2	578	10.33	39.76	5.46	
UZH_Pandas_Task3.1_simple_with_cot	578	13.74	39.59	3.38	

Zero-Shot vs Few-Shot xamples used in few-shot too complex





Table: Results for CLEF 2024 SimpleText Task 3.2 abstract-level text simplification (task number removed from the run\_id) on the test set

	unt	ť	<b>RI</b>	ĒŪ
run_id	00	Х Ц	SA	BI
References	103	8.91	100.00	100.00
Identity	103	13.64	12.81	21.36
AIIRLab_Task3.2_llama-3-8b_run1	103	9.07	43.44	11.73
AIIRLab_Task3.2_llama-3-8b_run2	103	10.22	42.19	7.99
AIIRLab_Task3.2_llama-3-8b_run3	103	10.17	43.21	11.03
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.2_run2	103	11.01	42.47	10.54
Elsevier@SimpleText_Task3.2_run5	103	12.08	42.15	10.96
Charling and tables of finational	100	11 50	40.07	10 00

Zero-Shot vs Few-Shot Examples used in few-shot too complex





### TASK ###

Simplify the language used in this sentence from a scientific article so that it can be understood by the general audience. Focus on simplifying the sentence structure and replacing scientific jargon with everyday language.

### REQUEST ###

- Sentence:

{row.source\_snt}

- Simplified Sentence:

### Conclusion

#### Task 1

- Performance not as high as the previous year
- Hypothesis: shift from lexical to semantic search models and generative methods in the reference set
- Generated Search Queries > traditional search queries
- Best performance with query-level generated queries

#### Task 3

- Various prompt-engineering techniques tested
- Simplest prompts yielded best FKGL and BLEU scores
- Few-shot prompts underperformed due to complexity mismatch between test and reference sets





Enhancing Scientific Document Simplification through Adaptive Retrieval and Generative Models

Artemis Capari, Hosein Azarbonyad, Zubair Afzal, Georgios Tsatsaronis



